IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 16297 of 2004

Between:

V.P.B.Nair, S/o.C.N.Velayudhan Pillai,
No.3, Amar Jyothi Colony, Boionapally, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chief Secretary to Govt, of A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Principal Secretary to Govt. of A.P.Home Dept., Secretariat,

Hyderabad.
3 Director General of Police, Govt. of A.P., Lakadikapool, Hyderabad.
4 Commissioner of police, Control Room, Basheer Bagh, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue order or orders or directions particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents to withdraw the security as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to law, much less violative of principles of natural justice, violative of Article 14, 21 of the Constitution of India in the interest of justice and consequently directing the Respondents to continue the security arrangements forthwith in view of the danger to life, limb, and property of the petitioner and his family as long as threat of his life, limb and property is in existence from the Naxalites as well as from anti-social elements and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.S.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner is a retired Inspector General of Police. He retired from service of the Government of Andhra Pradesh as Secretary to Home. He filed the instant writ petition seeking declaration that the action of the respondents withdrawing security provided to him as illegal and arbitrary.

However, when the case was called, the learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri.T.S.Venkata Ramana, submits that the Police have provided security to the petitioner and the same is also mentioned in the counter affidavit.

In view of the same, the writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

01.07.2005

vs

To

1 The Chief Secretary to Govt, of A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Principal Secretary to Govt. of A.P.Home Dept., Secretariat,

Hyderabad.
3 Director General of Police, Govt. of A.P., Lakadikapool, Hyderabad.
4 Commissioner of police, Control Room, Basheer Bagh, Hyderabad.

5 Two CCs to the G.P for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad(O.U.T).

6 2 CD copies